UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
----X
SHAWN SHEMACK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER 05-CV-6122 (JS)(MLO)

JOHN and JANE DOE, known collectively as THE BOARD OF FIRE COMMISSIONERS FOR THE LEVITTOWN FIRE DISTRICT LEVITTOWN FIRE DEPARTMENT NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT NASSAU COUNTY OFFICE OF THE FIRE MARSHALL, THE STATE OF NEW YORK JOHN ROTTKAMP, CHIEF OF THE LEVITTOWN FIRE DISTRICT, RICHARD MAICKEL Fire Investigator, Nassau County RAYMOND KURZ, Nassau County Police Department, Detective, in their individual and official capacities,

Defendants.

----X

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: Shawn P. Shemack, <u>Pro Se</u>

04-R-2293

Marcy Correctional Facility

P.O. Box 3600

Marcy, New York 13403-3600

For Defendants: No Appearance

SEYBERT, District Judge:

Pending before the Court is the Amended Complaint of prose Se Plaintiff Shawn P. Shemack ("Plaintiff") brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This Court issued a Memorandum and Order dated March 14, 2006, (1) granting Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis, (2) dismissing the Plaintiff's original Complaint because the Complaint was not filed within three years of the

alleged violation, and (3) granting Plaintiff sixty days to amend his Complaint. See Owens v. Okure, 488 U.S. 235, 236, 109 S. Ct. 573, 102 L. Ed. 2d 594 (1989).

In Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, filed on May 15, 2006, Plaintiff alleges that he did not become aware of the Constitutional violations that were committed against him until May 30, 2003. At this point in time, the Court presumes Plaintiff's allegations as true. Accordingly, the Court shall not dismiss <u>sua sponte</u> Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

Federal law "governs the determination of the accrual date (that is, the date the statute of limitations begins to run) for purposes of the statute of limitations in a section 1983 action." Ormiston v. Nelson, 117 F.3d 69, 71 (2d Cir. 1997). The statute of limitations accrues "when the plaintiff knows or has reason to know of the injury which is the basis of his action." Id. (citation and internal quotation marks omitted). "The crucial time for accrual purposes is when the plaintiff becomes aware that he is suffering from a wrong for which damages may be recovered in a civil action." Singleton v. City of New York, 632 F.2d 185, 192 (2d Cir. 1980); accord Pearl v. City of Long Beach, 296 F.3d 76, 79-80 (2d Cir. 2002).

In light of Plaintiff's allegations in his Amended Complaint - that he did not become of aware of the alleged Constitutional violations until May 30, 2003 - the United States

Marshal Service is directed to serve the Amended Complaint upon the Defendants without prepayment of fees.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ JOANNA SEYBERT
Joanna Seybert, U.S.D.J.

Dated: Central Islip, New York
June 8, 2006